

Brief Resolved Unexplained Event

Emergency Department

Center for Clinical Excellence



Definition & Diagnosis

Definition:

A brief resolved unexplained event (BRUE) is defined as an incident that occurs in an infant younger than 1 year of age, when the observer reports a sudden, brief, and resolved episode of one or more of the following: cyanosis or pallor; absent, decreased, or irregular breathing; marked change in tone (hyper- or hypotonia); and altered level of responsiveness. A BRUE is a diagnosis of exclusion assigned when there is no explanation for a qualifying event *after* compiling an appropriate history and conducting a physical examination. Based on the clinician's characterization of features of the event and not on a caregiver's perception that the event was life-threatening.

Diagnostic Criteria:

- < 12 months of age</p>
- Episode of one or more of the following:
 - o central cyanosis or pallor
 - o absent, decreased, or irregular breathing
 - o marked change in tone (hyper- or hypotonia)
 - o altered level of responsiveness
- · Patient returned to his or her baseline state of health after the event
- Normal vital signs and appearance on exam
- Not explained by an identifiable medical condition

Consider alternate diagnosis when:

- Has not returned to his or her baseline after the event (exclusion criteria)
- Abnormal vital signs or appearance on exam (exclusion criteria)
- History or physical exam reveal an explanation (exclusion criteria)
- Underlying medical condition that could account for the event (exclusion criteria)
- Child Abuse: Multiple or changing versions of the history, event history is inconsistent with the child's developmental age, or unexplained bruising or a torn labial or lingual frenulum, previous CPS involvement with family
- Cardiac: Family history of sudden unexplained death, Long QT syndrome, or arrhythmia
- Other: Family history of SIDS, IEM or genetic disease
- Infection: Known exposure to pertussis

Historical Features to Consider

- The broad objective of the BRUE H&P is to characterize the event, assess the risk of recurrence, and determine the presence of an underlying disorder.
- The history should be taken (in person or by phone) from persons who observed the infant during or immediately after the event.
- A BRUE diagnosis should only be made when patient is meeting inclusion criteria, does not have any exclusion criteria and there is no explanation for the qualifying event.

Key components to identify an explanation for the event include:

• HPI: Description of event

- What alerted the caregiver to a problem?
- o Behavioral state: awake or asleep
- Color, Tone, Breathing & Responsiveness
- o Approximate duration of the event based on clinician's best estimation?
- How did it stop: With no intervention, picking up, positioning, rubbing or clapping back, mouth-tomouth, chest compressions, etc? End abruptly or gradually? Treatment provided by parent/ caregiver (eg, glucose-containing drink or food)? 911 called by caregiver?
- o State after the event

HPI: Circumstances and environment prior to event

- o Recent history of illness or trauma
- Sleep position-prone / supine / side and sleeping arrangement, chair, lounge, crib, car seat, bed as well type of bedding and clothing.
- Environmental exposures: Housing: general, water damage, or mold problems? Exposure to tobacco smoke, toxic substances, drugs?

• PMH, including

- Pre-/perinatal and Growth/development.
- Newborn screen normal?
- Previous ER visits or hospitalizations?
- Medications: including herbs, supplements etc, home remedies

Family history, including

- o SIDS?
- Unexplained car accident or drowning in first-or second degree family members before age 35, and particularly as an infant?
- Apparent life-threatening event in sibling?
- Long QT syndrome? Arrhythmia?
- o Inborn error of metabolism or genetic disease?
- Developmental delay?

Physical Exam:

 Perform a thorough exam of undressed patient, focusing on skin (bruising or petechiae), HEENT (intact frenula, anatomic abnormalities), heart and nervous system to verify that patient is asymptomatic with negative PE findings.

Differential Diagnoses

	Potential Diagnoses	History	Physical Exam
Gastrointestinal	 GER, GERD Laryngospasm Overfeeding Oropharyngeal dysphagia 	 Event was around feeding time Presence of milk or formula in nose or mouth during event Coughing or choking with feeding Chronic, severe, or recurrent feeding problems Regurgitation or vomiting, irritability, feeding resistance, poor weight gain, and back arching 	 Choking or gagging noises Poor growth Desaturations with feeds
Cardiac	 Arrhythmia: LQTS, WPW Cardiomyopathy Congenital heart disease 	 Fatigue or diaphoresis with feeds Recurrent cyanotic episodes FHx: Arrhythmia (LQTS, WPW), sudden or unexplained death, SIDS, syncope 	 Abnormal heart rate or rhythm Murmur or weak pulses Low oxygen saturation Poor growth
Neurologic	 Seizure Infantile spasms CNS malformation Elevated ICP 	 Recurrent, paroxysmal or stereotypical events Abnormal eye movements Loss of consciousness or lethargy Developmental delays or regressions FHx: Infantile spasms, seizures, genetic or metabolic disorders, developmental delays 	 Abnormal tone, reflexes, or neurological exam Neurocutaneous findings Dysmorphic features Papilledema or bulging fontanelle Micro- or macrocephaly
Airway and Respiratory	 Airway abnormalities Choanal atresia Laryngomalacia Tracheoesophageal fistula Respiratory diseases: Central apnea (secondary to infection, CNS, CCHS, prematurity, or trauma) Obstructive apnea (secondary to airway abnormality or poor tone) Mixed apnea Foreign body Respiratory tract infection Breath-holding spells Periodic breathing 	 Multiple or recurrent events Respiratory pattern abnormalities (e.g., apnea or periodic breathing) Work of breathing Noisy breathing or snoring Aspiration event 	 Micrognathia Poor tone Tachypnea or periodic breathing Stridor, or abnormal breath sounds Focality on respiratory exam
Infectious	 Viral URTI/LRTI (e.g., RSV) Pertussis Bacterial pneumonia Bacteremia, meningitis, UTI 	 Additional episodes of gagging, gasping, color change with respiratory pause Fever, hypothermia, respiratory or URTI symptoms Poor oral intake or urine output Foul-smelling urine or known CAKUT Lethargy Delivery complicated by prematurity, maternal GBS, PROM, maternal chorioamnionitis Sick contacts Under-immunized community Previous bacterial infection 	 Fever, tachycardia or tachypnea Periods of apnea Coryza Irritability, lethargy or ill appearance Poor perfusion

Algorithm

(Merritt et al. 2019; Nama et al. 2024; Westphal et al. 2022)

Diagnostic Testing

	Potential Diagnoses	Evaluation to Consider
Gastrointestinal	 GER, GERD Laryngospasm Overfeeding Oropharyngeal dysphagia 	 Bedside evaluation by a feeding specialist Consider VFSS Consider gastroenterology consultation
Cardiac	 Arrhythmia: LQTS, WPW Cardiomyopathy Congenital heart disease 	 ECG and cardiology consult Consider an echocardiogram
Neurologic	 Seizure Infantile spasms CNS malformation Elevated ICP 	 Neurology consultation Consider EEG Consider head imaging
Airway and Respiratory	 Airway abnormalities Choanal atresia Laryngomalacia Tracheoesophageal fistula Respiratory diseases: Central apnea (secondary to infection, CNS, CCHS, prematurity, or trauma) Obstructive apnea (secondary to airway abnormality or poor tone) Mixed apnea Foreign body Respiratory tract infection Breath-holding spells Periodic breathing 	 Otolaryngology and/or pulmonology consultation Comprehensive polysomnography (if concerns regarding apnea) Consider head imaging (if central apnea) Consider CXR (if bacterial pneumonia)
Infectious	 Viral URTI/LRTI (e.g., RSV) Pertussis Bacterial pneumonia Bacteremia, meningitis, UTI 	 Viral respiratory panel (if it will affect management decisions) Pertussis testing Consider urinalysis and urine culture, blood culture +/- lumbar puncture
Metabolic	 Electrolyte abnormalities (e.g. hypocalcemia or hypoglycemia) Inborn Errors of Metabolism 	 Blood glucose, sodium, potassium, chloride, urea, creatinine, calcium, venous blood gas, ammonia, lactic acid Biochemical genetics consult
Child Maltreatment	 Non-accidental head trauma Smothering or suffocation Poisoning or accidental ingestion Factitious syndrome by proxy 	 Consultation with child protection expert Skeletal survey, head imaging, and retinal examination Toxicology screen

(Merritt et al. 2019; Nama et al. 2024; Westphal et al. 2022)

Risk Assessment

Lower Risk BRUE Patients

- Definition: Patients who are unlikely to have a recurrent event or undiagnosed serious conditions and are at lower risk of adverse outcomes based on currently available evidence.
- Criteria: Meets ALL of the following:
 - Age > 60 days
 - GA ≥32 weeks or corrected GA ≥45 weeks if <32 weeks
 - o No CPR by trained provider
 - Event lasted <1 min
 - o First and single event
 - No concerns identified by MD H&P or SW evaluation including, but not limited to:
 - High-risk social situation
 - Family history of SIDS or previous sibling death.

Patients NOT meeting ALL Lower Risk Criteria

- A heterogeneous population of infants in which the history & physical exam may suggest the need for further observation, investigation, and treatment.
- Currently there is insufficient evidence to guide clinical decision making, including admission for BRUE. Clinical judgement, shared-decision making and individualized care is appropriate until further consensus and evidence is available.

Psychosocial Assessment

A Social Work evaluation is <u>required</u> for all patients who meet diagnostic criteria for a BRUE

- For patients anticipated to meet lower risk criteria, SW evaluation should be performed in the ED prior to discharge.
- For admitted patients, a SW consult should be performed after admission.
- A full psychosocial assessment should be performed to assess safety and need for resources

Recommended Treatments

- **SW consult** with full psychosocial assessment for all patients with a BRUE.
- Provide caregiver with, or offer resources for, **CPR training** in children presenting with a BRUE. The potentially lifesaving benefit of CPR training, compared to negligible reported risk and limited resources required, justifies the strong recommendation to train caregivers to perform CPR. *Evidence quality: Very low; Recommendation Strength: Strong*

Treatment NOT Recommended

Do NOT to start **empiric acid suppression** pharmacotherapy in patients with a GE reflux related BRUE. Strong recommendation is based on the NASPGHAN guidelines, AAP guidance, the lack of efficacy and risk of adverse effects of empiric acid suppression therapy for GER in patients with and without an BRUE diagnosis. *Evidence quality: Low; Recommendation Strength: Strong*

Discharge Criteria

- Asymptomatic, normal vital signs, and no concerning PE findings.
- SW evaluation completed and no concerns identified OR concerns adequately addressed or resolved.
- PCP follow-up within 3 days.
- Provider and caregiver comfortable with discharge.

Admission Considerations

- Recurrent event of specific abnormality identified in ED?
- Observation needed to further characterize events?
- Subspecialty consultation or additional testing needed?
- Provider or caregiver uncomfortable with discharge?

Patient & Caregiver Education

- Provide caregiver education about BRUEs.
- Provide caregiver with CPR training or resources for obtaining CPR training after discharge

	Facts for healthcare providers	Suggested translation for caregivers
		Ť1
Explanatory diagnoses	 The most common explanations are due to normal infant immaturity and are not life threatening. Examples of these include GER (18.5%), choking or gagging (8.2%), viral respiratory infections (4.4%), and breath holding spells (4.1%). Approximately 4% of infants will 	1. The most common causes of BRUEs are not life-threatening. Over 95% of infants do not have a serious underlying diagnosis.
	eventually be diagnosed with a serious underlying condition, some of which include seizures requiring anti-epileptic medications (1.15%), airway abnormality (0.64%), and head trauma (0.34%).	
No increased risk of mortality	1. There is no increased risk of death after a BRUE over the baseline risk in the first year of life. There is no known relationship between BRUE and SIDS.	1. Given these events are scary, many caregivers worry that their baby's life was or will be at risk. There is no increased risk of death and no known relationship between BRUE and Sudden Infant Death Syndrome.
Importance of follow-up	1. Approximately 45% of serious diagnoses are made AFTER discharge from the ED or hospital. Careful outpatient follow-up is advised to help identify infants with ongoing medical concerns that would indicate further evaluation and treatment.	1. Please make a follow-up appointment with your baby's pediatrician for about 2 days after discharge from the hospital. If your baby has another event or develops new problems, contact the baby's doctor. If you are concerned the event could be life-threatening, call 911.

Helping Hands

Brief Resolved Unexplained Event (BRUE) Helping Hands

References

- Tieder JS, Bonkowsky JL, Etzel RA, et al. Brief Resolved Unexplained Events (Formerly Apparent Life-Threatening Events) and Evaluation of Lower-Risk Infants [published correction appears in Pediatrics. 2016 Aug;138(2):e20161487. doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-1487]. Pediatrics. 2016;137(5):e20160590. doi:10.1542/peds.2016-0590
- Patra KP, Hall M, DeLaroche AM, Tieder JS. Impact of the AAP Guideline on Management of Brief Resolved Unexplained Events. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2022;12(9):780-791. doi:10.1542/hpeds.2021-006427
- Tieder JS, Sullivan E, Stephans A, et al. Risk Factors and Outcomes After a Brief Resolved Unexplained Event: A Multicenter Study. *Pediatrics*. 2021;148(1):e2020036095. doi:10.1542/peds.2020-036095
- Mittal MK, Tieder JS, Westphal K, et al. Diagnostic testing for evaluation of brief resolved unexplained events. Acad Emerg Med. 2023;30(6):662-670. doi:10.1111/ acem.14666
- Bochner R, Tieder JS, Sullivan E, et al. Explanatory Diagnoses Following Hospitalization for a Brief Resolved Unexplained Event. *Pediatrics*. 2021;148(5):e2021052673. doi:10.1542/peds.2021-052673
- Nama N, Hall M, Neuman M, et al. Risk Prediction After a Brief Resolved Unexplained Event. Hosp Pediatr. 2022;12(9):772-785. doi:10.1542/hpeds.2022-006637
- Merritt JL 2nd, Quinonez RA, Bonkowsky JL, et al. A Framework for Evaluation of the Higher-Risk Infant After a Brief Resolved Unexplained Event. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(2):e20184101. doi:10.1542/peds.2018-4101
- DeLaroche AM, Haddad R, Farooqi A, Sapién RE, Tieder JS. Outcome Prediction of Higher-Risk Brief Resolved Unexplained Events. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2020;10(4):303-310. doi:10.1542/hpeds.2019-0195
- Ramgopal S, Colgan JY, Roland D, Pitetti RD, Katsogridakis Y. Brief resolved unexplained events: a new diagnosis, with implications for evaluation and management. Eur J Pediatr. 2022;181(2):463-470. doi:10.1007/s00431-021-04234-5
- Bellomo-Brandão MA, Stranguetti FM, Lopes IF, Peixoto AO, Marson FAL, Lomazi EA. GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX DISEASE IN INFANTS WHO PRESENTED BRIEF RESOLVED UNEXPLAINED EVENT (BRUE). Arq Gastroenterol. 2021;58(4):424-428. doi:10.1590/S0004-2803.202100000-77
- Doswell A, Anderst J, Tieder JS, et al. Diagnostic testing for and detection of physical abuse in infants with brief resolved unexplained events. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2023;135:105952. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2022.105952
- Maksimowski K, Haddad R, DeLaroche AM. Pediatrician Perspectives on Brief Resolved Unexplained Events. Hosp Pediatr. 2021;11(9):996-1003. doi:10.1542/ hpeds.2021-005805
- Triemstra J. The Cost of Hospital Admission: Brief Resolved Unexplained Events. Pediatr Ann. 2017;46(7):e262-e264. doi:10.3928/19382359-20170619-02
- Duncan DR, Liu E, Growdon AS, Larson K, Rosen RL. A Prospective Study of Brief Resolved Unexplained Events: Risk Factors for Persistent Symptoms. Hosp Pediatr. 2022;12(12):1030-1043. doi:10.1542/hpeds.2022-006550
- Ari A, Atias Y, Amir J. Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants After a Brief Resolved Unexplained Event-Related Hospitalization. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2019;35(11):765-768. doi:10.1097/PEC.000000000001816
- DeLaroche AM, Hall M, Mittal MK, et al. Accuracy of diagnostic codes for identifying brief resolved unexplained events. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2021;11(7):726-749. doi:10.1542/hpeds.2020-005330.
- Brand DA, Fazzari MJ. Risk of Death in Infants Who Have Experienced a Brief Resolved Unexplained Event: A Meta-Analysis. J Pediatr. 2018;197:63-67. doi:10.1016/ j.jpeds.2017.12.028
- Colombo M, Katz ES, Bosco A, Melzi ML, Nosetti L. Brief resolved unexplained events: Retrospective validation of diagnostic criteria and risk stratification. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2019;54(1):61-65. doi:10.1002/ppul.24195
- Khan A, Wallace SS, Sampayo EM, Falco C. Caregivers' Perceptions and Hospital Experience After a Brief Resolved Unexplained Event: A Qualitative Study. Hosp Pediatr. 2019;9(7):508-515. doi:10.1542/hpeds.2018-0220
- Duncan DR, Growdon AS, Liu E, et al. The Impact of the American Academy of Pediatrics Brief Resolved Unexplained Event Guidelines on Gastrointestinal Testing and Prescribing Practices. J Pediatr. 2019;211:112-119.e4. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.04.007
- Brand DA, Mock A, Cohn E, Krilov LR. Implementing the 2016 American Academy of Pediatrics Guideline on Brief Resolved Unexplained Events: The Parent's Perspective. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2021;37(5):e243-e248. doi:10.1097/PEC.00000000001659
- DeLaroche AM, Mittal MK. But What Was "It"? Talking to Parents About BRUE. Hosp Pediatr. 2019;9(7):566-568. doi:10.1542/hpeds.2019-0126
- Pitetti R. Defining Risk Factors for Children Following a BRUE: The Need to Revisit the AAP BRUE Guideline. Pediatrics. 2021;148(1):e2021049933. doi:10.1542/ peds.2021-049933



Quality Metrics

Outcome Measures

- ED LOS
- Admission rate

Process Measures

- Pathway Visualization
- Rate of SW consult

Balancing Measures

- 7 day return rate to ED
- 30 day return rate to ED
- Rate of labs and imaging

Pathway Team & Development

Pathway Development Team

Leaders:

Emergency Medicine:

Aarti Gaglani, MD

Hospital Pediatrics:

Kathryn Westphal, MD

Members:

Emergency Medicine:

Berkeley Bennett, MD, MS Michael Chua, MD Kristin Stukus, MD Charles McCombs, MD Holly Long, RN

Clinical Pathways Program:

Medical Director – Emergency Medicine:

Aarti Gaglani, MD

Medical Director – Clinical Informatics & Emergency Medicine:

Laura Rust, MD, MPH

Physician Informatics:

Kathy Nuss, MD

Business & Development Manager:

Rekha Voruganti, MBOE, LSSBB

Program Coordinators:

Tahje Brown, MBA Tara Dinh, BS

Clinical Pathway Approved: Medical Director – Associate Chief Quality Officer, Center for Clinical Excellence:

Ryan Bode, MD, MBOE

Advisory Committee Date: January, 2025

Origination Date: January, 2025

Next Revision Date: January, 2028

Clinical Pathway Development

This clinical pathway was developed using the process described in the NCH Clinical Pathway Development Manual Version 6, 2022. Clinical Pathways at Nationwide Children's Hospital (NCH) are standards which provide general guidance to clinicians. Patient choice, clinician judgment, and other relevant factors in diagnosing and treating patients remain central to the selection of diagnostic tests and therapy. The ordering provider assumes all risks associates with care decisions. NCH assumes no responsibility for any adverse consequences, errors, or omissions that may arise from the use or reliance on these guidelines. NCH's clinical pathways are reviewed periodically for consistency with new evidence; however, new developments may not be represented, and NCH makes no guarantees, representations, or warranties with respect to the information provided in this clinical pathway.

Copyright © 2023. Nationwide Children's Hospital. All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced, displayed, modified, or distributed in any form without the express written permission of Nationwide Children's Hospital.

For more information about our pathways and program please contact: ClinicalPathways@NationwideChildrens.org